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Abstract

We present new and streamlined proofs of various formulae for products and ratios of characteristic polynomials of random Hermitian matrices that have appeared recently in the literature.
1 Introduction

In random matrix theory, unitary ensembles of $N \times N$ matrices $\{H\}$ play a central role [16]. Such ensembles are described by a measure $d\alpha$ with finite moments $\int_\mathbb{R} |x|^k d\alpha(x) < \infty$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, and the distribution function for the eigenvalues $\{x_i = x_i(H)\}$ of matrices $H$ in the ensembles has the form

$$dP_{\alpha,N}(x) = \frac{1}{Z_N} \Delta(x)^2 d\alpha(x)$$

(1.1)

where $d\alpha(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N d\alpha(x_i)$, $\Delta(x) = \prod_{N \geq i > j \geq 1} (x_i - x_j)$ is the Vandermonde determinant for the $x_i$’s, and $Z_N = \int \cdots \int \Delta(x)^2 d\alpha(x)$ is the normalization constant. The special case $d\alpha(x) = e^{-x^2} dx$ is known as the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE). For symmetric functions $f(x) = f(x_1, \cdots, x_N)$ of the $x_i$’s,

$$\langle f \rangle_{\alpha} \equiv \frac{1}{Z_N} \int \cdots \int f(x) \Delta(x)^2 d\alpha(x)$$

(1.2)

denotes the average of $f$ with respect to $dP_{\alpha,N}$.

Recently there has been considerable interest in the averages of products and ratios of the characteristic polynomials $D_N[\mu, H] = \prod_{i=1}^N (\mu - x_i(H))$ of random matrices with respect to various ensembles. Such averages are used, in particular, in making predictions about the moments of the Riemann-zeta function, see [15, 14, 13] (circular ensembles) and [3] (unitary ensembles). Many other uses are described, for example, in [1], [12] and [11].

By (1.2), for unitary ensembles, such averages have the form

$$\left\langle \frac{\prod_{j=1}^K D_N[\mu_j, H]}{\prod_{j=1}^M D_N[\epsilon_j, H]} \right\rangle_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{Z_N} \int \cdots \int \frac{\prod_{j=1}^K \prod_{i=1}^N (\mu_j - x_i) \prod_{j=1}^M \prod_{i=1}^N (\epsilon_j - x_i)}{\Delta(x)^2} \Delta(x)^2 d\alpha(x).$$

(1.3)

In this paper we consider certain explicit determinantal formulae for (1.3) – see (2.6), (2.24), (2.36), (3.3), (3.12) below. Formula (2.6) is due to Brezin and Hikami [3] (see also [17], and when all the $\mu_j$’s are equal, see [10]), whereas (2.24), (2.36), (3.3) and (3.12) are due to Fyodorov and Strahov [12, 11]. The papers [12, 11] also contain a discussion of the history of these formulae. The formulae (3.3) and (3.12) are particularly useful in proving universality results for the ratios (1.3) in the Dyson limit as $N \to \infty$ (see [11]). For a discussion of other universality results, particularly the work of Brezin-Hikami and Fyodorov in special cases, we again refer the reader to [11]. The asymptotic analysis in [11] is based on the reformulation of the orthogonal polynomial problem as a Riemann-Hilbert problem by Fokas, Its and Kitaev [9]. The Riemann-Hilbert problem is then analyzed asymptotically using the non-commutative steepest-descent method introduced by Deift and Zhou [8], and further developed with Venakides in [7] to allow for fully non-linear oscillations, and in [6], [5].
Our goal in this paper is to give new, streamlined proofs of (2.6)-(3.12), using only the properties of orthogonal polynomials and a minimum of combinatorics. Along the way we will also need an integral version of the classical Binet-Cauchy formula due to C. Andréief dating back to 1883 (see Lemma 2.1 below).

Let \( \pi_j(z) = x_j + \cdots \) denote the \( j \)th monic orthogonal polynomial with respect to the measure \( d\alpha \),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_j(x) \pi_k(x) d\alpha(x) = c_j c_k \delta_{jk}, \quad j, k \geq 0, \tag{1.4}
\]

where the norming constants \( c_j \)'s are positive. The key observation in our approach is that for \( K = 1 \) and \( M = 0 \) in (1.3)

\[
\langle D_N[\mu, H] \rangle_{\alpha} = \pi_N(\mu) \tag{1.5}
\]

(see [18]). In our words, the orthogonal polynomial \( \pi_N(\mu) \) with respect to \( d\alpha \) is also precisely the average polynomial \( \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\mu - x_i) \) with respect to \( dP_{\alpha,N} \). Formula (1.5) appears already in the work of Heine in the 1880’s (see [18]). Set

\[
d\alpha^{[\ell,m]}(t) \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (\mu_j - t) \prod_{j=1}^{m} (\epsilon_j - t) d\alpha(t), \quad \ell, m \geq 0, \tag{1.6}
\]

(\( d\alpha^{[0,0]}(t) \equiv d\alpha(t) \)), and let \( \pi_j^{[\ell,m]}(t) \) denote the \( j \)th monic orthogonal polynomial with respect to \( d\alpha^{[\ell,m]} \). With this notation we see immediately from (1.3), (1.5) that

\[
\langle D_N^{[K-1,M]}[\mu_j, H] \rangle_{\alpha}^{[\ell,m]} = \pi_N^{[K-1,M]}(\mu) \tag{1.7}
\]

is proportional to \( \pi_N^{[K-1,M]}(\mu_K) \) Using a classical determinantal formula of Christoffel (see [18]) for \( \pi_N^{[0,0]}(\mu) \) and a more recent formula of Uvarov [19] for \( \pi_N^{[0,m]}(\mu) \), we are then led (see Section 2. Formulae of Christoffel-Uvarov type) to (2.6), (2.24) and (2.36) in a rather straightforward way. Formula (3.3) appears to have a different character from (2.6), (2.24), (2.36), and relies on Lemma 2.1 mentioned above, which computes the integral of the product of two determinants: formula (3.12) follows (see Section 3. Formulae of two-point function type) by combining (3.3) with (2.6) and (2.36). In [11] the authors present a variety of additional formulae for \( \langle D_N^{[K-1,M]}[\mu_j, H] \rangle_{\alpha}^{[\ell,m]} \) for cases of \( K \) and \( M \) not covered by (2.6)-(3.12): we leave it to the interested reader to verify that the method of this paper can also be used to derive these formulae in a straightforward manner.

Remark 1.1. As is well-known (see e.g., [18]), each measure \( d\alpha \) gives rise to a tridiagonal operator

\[
J = J(d\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix}
a_1 & b_1 & 0 &  & \\
b_1 & a_2 & b_2 &  & \\
0 & b_2 & a_3 & \cdots & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
&& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix}, \quad b_i > 0 \tag{1.7}
\]

with generalized eigenfunctions given by the orthonormal polynomials

\[
p_j(x) = c_j^{-1} \pi_j(x), \quad j = 0, 1, \cdots, \tag{1.8}
\]
i.e.,
\[ b_{j-1}p_{j-1}(x) + a_jp_j(x) + b_jp_{j+1}(x) = xp_j(x), \quad j \geq 1 \] (1.9)
where \( b_0 \equiv 0 \). Conversely, modulo certain essential self-adjointness issues, \( d\alpha \) is the spectral measure for \( J \) in the cyclic subspace generated by \( J \) and the vector \( e_1 = (1, 0, 0, \cdots)^T \) (see, e.g., [4]). It follows that the transformation of measures

\[ d\alpha \rightarrow d\alpha^{[\ell,m]} \] (1.10)
leads to the transformation of operators

\[ J(d\alpha) \rightarrow J(d\alpha^{[\ell,m]}). \] (1.11)

For appropriate choices of \( \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_m \) and \( \epsilon_1, \cdots, \epsilon_{\ell} \), such transformations corresponding to removing \( m \) points from the spectrum of \( J(d\alpha) \) and inserting \( \ell \) points: in the spectral theory literature, such transformations are known as Darboux transformations. The formulae in this paper clearly provide formulae for the generalized eigenfunctions \( p_j^{[\ell,m]}(x) \) of the Darboux-transformed operator \( J(d\alpha^{[\ell,m]}) \), as well as the matrix entries, \( a_j^{[\ell,m]} \) and \( b_j^{[\ell,m]} \), in terms of the corresponding objects for \( J(d\alpha) \). Again we leave the details to the reader. Here the elementary formulae

\[ b_n^2(d\alpha) = \frac{n + 1}{n + 2} \frac{Z_n(d\alpha)Z_{n+2}(d\alpha)}{(Z_{n+1}(d\alpha))^2}, \quad a_n(d\alpha) = \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \log \frac{Z_n(d\alpha_t)}{Z_{n+1}(d\alpha_t)} \] (1.12)

where \( d\alpha_t(x) = e^{tx}d\alpha(x) \), are useful.

Technical Remark 1.2. Formulae (2.6)-(3.12) clearly do not make sense for all values of the parameters. In all the calculations that follow, we will assume that \( d\alpha \) has compact support, \( \text{support}(d\alpha) = [-Q, Q] \), say, and that the \( \mu_i \)'s and \( \epsilon_j \)'s are distinct real numbers greater than \( Q \): under these assumptions, \( d\alpha^{[\ell,m]}(t) \) becomes, in particular, a bona-fide measure, etc. By analytic continuation one sees that the formulae remain true for complex values of \( \{\mu_i\} \) and \( \{\epsilon_j\} \), as long as they remain distinct. Furthermore, if the \( \mu_i \)'s and \( \epsilon_j \)'s are distinct, and \( \text{Im}(\epsilon_j) \neq 0 \) for all \( j \), then we can let \( Q \rightarrow \infty \) and so the formulae are true for measures \( d\alpha \) with unbounded support. Finally we can, for example, let \( \mu_j \rightarrow \mu_k \) for some \( j \neq k \), which leads to formulae involving derivatives of the \( \pi_j \)'s, etc.

2 Formulae of Christoffel-Uvarov type

We use the notations \( d\alpha, \pi_j, d\alpha^{[\ell,m]}, \pi_j^{[\ell,m]}, \ldots \) of Section 1. In addition, in all the calculations that follow we assume that \( d\alpha, \{\mu_j\}, \{\epsilon_k\} \) satisfy the conditions described in Technical Remark 1.2 above: the natural analytical continuation of the formulae obtained to complex values of the parameters, and the limit \( Q \rightarrow \infty \), is left to the reader.

The following result of Christoffel (see [18]) plays a basic role in what follows.
Lemma 2.1. Consider the measure \( da^{[\ell,0]}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (\mu_j - t) \, da(t) \), where \( \ell = 1, 2, \ldots \). Then the \( n^{th} \) monic orthogonal polynomial \( \pi_n^{[\ell,0]}(t) \) associated with the new measure \( da^{[\ell,0]}(t) \) can be expressed as follows:

\[
\pi_n^{[\ell,0]}(t) = \frac{1}{(t - \mu_1) \cdots (t - \mu_\ell)} \left| \begin{array}{c}
\pi_n(\mu_1) \cdots \pi_n(\mu_1) \\
\vdots \\
\pi_n(\mu_\ell) \cdots \pi_n(\mu_\ell) \\
\pi_n(t) \cdots \pi_n(t) \\
\pi_n(\mu_1) \cdots \pi_n(\mu_\ell+1) \\
\vdots \\
\pi_n(\mu_\ell) \cdots \pi_n(\mu_\ell+1) \\
\end{array} \right|. \quad (2.1)
\]

Proof. Set

\[
q_n^{[\ell,0]}(t) = \left| \begin{array}{c}
\pi_n(\mu_1) \cdots \pi_n(\mu_1) \\
\vdots \\
\pi_n(\mu_\ell) \cdots \pi_n(\mu_\ell) \\
\pi_n(t) \cdots \pi_n(t) \\
\end{array} \right|. \quad (2.2)
\]

We note that \( q_n^{[\ell,0]}(t) \) satisfies the condition \( \int t^j q_n^{[\ell,0]}(t) da(t) = 0 \) for all \( j \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\} \). Also \( q_n^{[\ell,0]}(\mu_j) = 0, j = 1, \ldots, \ell \), and so \( \frac{q_n^{[\ell,0]}(t)}{(\mu_1 - t) \cdots (\mu_\ell - t)} \) is a polynomial of degree at most \( n \). Now observe that

\[
\int t^j \left[ \frac{q_n^{[\ell,0]}(t)}{(\mu_1 - t) \cdots (\mu_\ell - t)} \right] da^{[\ell,0]}(t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j < n \quad (2.3)
\]

which means that \( q_n^{[\ell,0]}(t) \) divided by the product \( (\mu_1 - t) \cdots (\mu_\ell - t) \) is proportional to the \( n^{th} \) monic orthogonal polynomial \( \pi_n^{[\ell,0]}(t) \) associated with the new measure \( da^{[\ell,0]}(t) \). Now \( q_n^{[\ell,0]}(t) \) cannot vanish for any \( t = \mu_{\ell+1} \geq Q \), \( \mu_{\ell+1} \notin \{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_\ell\} \). Indeed, if \( q_n^{[\ell,0]}(\mu_{\ell+1}) = 0 \), then there exist \( \{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \), not all zero, such that \( p(t) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{\ell+1} \alpha_i \pi_n(t) \) vanishes at \( \{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell+1} \). Thus \( \tilde{p}(t) \equiv p(t)/\prod_{i=1}^{\ell+1} (\mu_i - t) \) is a polynomial of order \( < n \), and as above, \( \tilde{p}(t) \) is orthogonal to \( t^j, 0 \leq j < n \), with respect to the measure \( da^{[\ell,0+1]}(t) \). Thus \( \tilde{p}(t) \equiv 0 \) and hence \( \alpha_0 = \cdots = \alpha_\ell = 0 \), which is a contradiction. Replacing \( \ell \) by \( \ell - 1 \), we conclude that

\[
\left| \begin{array}{c}
\pi_n(\mu_1) \cdots \pi_n(\mu_1) \\
\vdots \\
\pi_n(\mu_\ell) \cdots \pi_n(\mu_\ell) \\
\pi_n(t) \cdots \pi_n(t) \\
\pi_n(\mu_1) \cdots \pi_n(\mu_{\ell+1}) \\
\vdots \\
\pi_n(\mu_\ell) \cdots \pi_n(\mu_{\ell+1}) \\
\end{array} \right| \neq 0. \quad (2.4)
\]

Taking the limit \( t \to \infty \) and noting that the coefficient of the highest degree of \( \pi_n^{[\ell,0]}(t) \) should be equal to 1, we find the coefficient of proportionality and establish formula (2.1). \hfill \Box

Representation (2.1) for the monic orthogonal polynomials associated with the measure \( da^{[\ell,0]}(t) \) immediately leads to the following result:
Corollary 2.2. The product of monic orthogonal polynomials \( \prod_{j=0}^{\ell} \pi_n^{[j,0]}(\mu_{j+1}) \) defined with respect to the different measures \( d\alpha^{[j,0]}(t) \equiv (\mu_j - t) \cdots (\mu_1 - t)d\alpha(t) \) is given by the formula

\[
\prod_{j=0}^{\ell} \pi_n^{[j,0]}(\mu_{j+1}) = \frac{1}{\Delta(\mu)} \begin{vmatrix}
\pi_n(\mu_1) & \cdots & \pi_n+\ell(\mu_1) \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\pi_n(\mu_{\ell+1}) & \cdots & \pi_n(\mu_{\ell+1}) \\
\end{vmatrix}
\]

(2.5)

where \( \Delta(\mu) = \prod_{\ell+1 \geq i > j \geq 1} (\mu_i - \mu_j) \).

We observe that Corollary (2.2) gives the identity for the average of products of random characteristic polynomials obtained first by Brezin and Hikami [3].

Theorem 2.3. Let \( D_N[\mu, H] \) be the characteristic polynomial of the Hermitian matrix \( H \). The following identity is valid:

\[
\left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{L} D_N[\mu_j, H] \right\rangle_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\Delta(\mu)} \begin{vmatrix}
\pi_N(\mu_1) & \cdots & \pi_N+L-1(\mu_1) \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\pi_N(\mu_L) & \cdots & \pi_N+L-1(\mu_L) \\
\end{vmatrix}
\]

(2.6)

where the average is defined by (1.2).

Proof. To prove formula (2.6) we use the representation for the monic orthogonal polynomials in the case \( L = 1 \) given in (1.5),

\[
\pi_N(\mu) = \frac{1}{Z_N} \int \cdots \int (\mu - x_i) \Delta^2(x) d\alpha(x).
\]

(2.7)

Let \( Z_N^{[\ell,0]} \) be defined by

\[
Z_N^{[\ell,0]} = \int \cdots \int \Delta^2(x) d\alpha^{[\ell,0]}(x), \quad \ell = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

(2.8)

where \( d\alpha^{[\ell,0]}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} d\alpha^{[\ell,0]}(x_i) \). With this notation, we have

\[
\left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{L} D_N[\mu_j, H] \right\rangle_{\alpha} = \frac{Z_N^{[L,0]}}{Z_N} = \frac{Z_N^{[L,0]} Z_N^{[L-1,0]}}{Z_N^{[L-2,0]} Z_N^{[L-1,0]}}, \ldots \frac{Z_N^{[1,0]}}{Z_N}.
\]

(2.9)

Equation (2.7) implies that \( \pi_n^{[\ell-1,0]}(\mu) \) can be represented as the ratio \( \frac{Z_N^{[\ell,0]}}{Z_N^{[\ell-1,0]}}, \) where \( \pi_N^{[0,0]}(\mu) \equiv \pi_N(\mu) \), and \( Z_N^{[0,0]} \equiv Z_N \). Thus we obtain

\[
\left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{L} D_N[\mu_j, H] \right\rangle_{\alpha} = \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} \pi_n^{[j,0]}(\mu_{j+1})
\]

(2.10)

The above equation together with Corollary (2.2) proves formula (2.6).
Remark 2.4. Notice (see equations (2.7) and (2.10)) that the average of products of characteristic polynomials can be rewritten as a product of averages. Namely,
\[
\left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{L} D_N[\mu_j, H] \right\rangle_{\alpha} = \prod_{j=1}^{L} \left\langle D_N[\mu_j, H] \right\rangle_{\alpha[j-1,0]}
\]
(2.11)
where \(\langle \ldots \rangle_{\alpha[j,0]}\) means the average defined by equation (1.2) but with respect to the new measure \(d\alpha[j-1,0](x)\), and \(d\alpha(x) \equiv d\alpha[0,0](x)\).

The formula of Christoffel (equation (2.1)) enables us to construct the orthogonal polynomials associated with the measure \(d\alpha[0,0](t) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (\epsilon_j - t)^{-1} d\alpha(t)\), in terms of the orthogonal polynomials associated with the measure \(d\alpha(t)\). Now we derive a formula due to Uvarov [19] expressing the monic orthogonal polynomials \(\pi_n[0,m](t)\) associated with the measure \(d\alpha[0,m](t) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} (\epsilon_j - t)^{-1} d\alpha(t)\), again in terms of the monic orthogonal polynomials \(\pi_n(t)\) associated with the measure \(d\alpha(t)\).

Lemma 2.5. Suppose \(0 \leq m \leq n\). The monic orthogonal polynomials \(\pi_n[0,m](t)\) associated with the measure \(d\alpha[0,m](t)\) can be expressed as ratios of determinants,
\[
\pi_n[0,m](t) = \frac{h_{n-m}(\epsilon_1) \cdots h_n(\epsilon_1)}{h_{n-m}(\epsilon_1) \cdots h_{n-1}(\epsilon_1) \cdots h_m(\epsilon_1) \cdots h_n(\epsilon_m)}.
\]
(2.12)
Here the \(h_k(\epsilon_j)\)'s are the Cauchy transformations of the monic orthogonal polynomials \(\pi_k(t)\),
\[
h_k(\epsilon_j) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{\pi_k(t) d\alpha(t)}{t - \epsilon_j}.
\]
(2.13)

Proof. Set
\[
q_n[0,m](t) = \begin{vmatrix}
h_{n-m}(\epsilon_1) & \cdots & h_n(\epsilon_1) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
h_{n-m}(\epsilon_m) & \cdots & h_n(\epsilon_m) \\
\pi_{n-m}(t) & \cdots & \pi_n(t)
\end{vmatrix}.
\]
(2.14)
Now \(q_n[0,m](t)\) is proportional to the \(n^{th}\) monic orthogonal polynomial \(\pi_n[0,m](t)\) with respect to the measure \(d\alpha[0,m](t)\). Indeed, first observe that
\[
\int \frac{q_n[0,m](t) d\alpha(t)}{t - \epsilon_j} = 0, \quad j = 1, \cdots, m.
\]
(2.15)
Also, for \(0 \leq k < n\),
\[
\frac{t^k}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{m} (\epsilon_\ell - t)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \beta_\ell (\epsilon_\ell - t) + p(t) \tag{2.16}
\]
for suitable constants \(\{\beta_\ell\}\) and for some polynomial of degree \(n-m\). But for \(0 \leq k < n\),
\[
\int t^k q_n^{[0,m]}(t) d\alpha^{[0,m]}(t) = -\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \beta_\ell \int \frac{q_n^{[0,m]}(t)}{t - \epsilon_\ell} d\alpha(t) + \int p(t) q_n^{[0,m]}(t) d\alpha(t). \tag{2.17}
\]
The terms in the sum are zero by (2.15) and the final integral is zero by the construction (2.14) of \(q_n^{[0,m]}(t)\) and the fact that \(\deg p(t) < n-m\). Thus \(q_n^{[0,m]}(t)\) is proportional to \(\pi_n^{[0,m]}(t)\).

An argument similar to the proof in Lemma 2.1 that
\[
\left| \begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_n(\mu_1) & \ldots & \pi_n+\ell-1(\mu_1) \\
\vdots \\
\pi_n(\mu_\ell) & \ldots & \pi_n+\ell-1(\mu_\ell)
\end{array} \right| \neq 0, \tag{2.18}
\]
shows that the denominator in (2.12) does not vanish. Letting \(t \to \infty\) in (2.14), and matching leading terms, we prove Lemma 2.5.

\[\square\]

Remark 2.6. In [19], Uvarov obtains formulae for \(\pi_n^{[0,m]}(t)\) of type (2.12) also in the case \(m > n\). These formulae can be used to obtain analogues of (2.24) and (2.36) below in the case \(M > N\).

Remark 2.7. As noted in [12, 11], the Cauchy transformations \(h_k(\epsilon)\) of the \(\pi_k\)'s occur explicitly, together with the \(\pi_k\)'s, in the solution of the Fokas-Its-Kitaev Riemann-Hilbert problem for orthogonal polynomials [9].

Lemma (2.5) implies the following analogue of the Christoffel formula for the Cauchy transforms of monic orthogonal polynomials.

Corollary 2.8. Let \(h_k^{[0,m]}(\epsilon)\) be the Cauchy transform of the monic polynomial \(\pi_k^{[0,m]}(t)\) with respect to the measure \(d\alpha^{[0,m]}(t)\),
\[
h_k^{[0,m]}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{\pi_k^{[0,m]}(t)}{t - \epsilon} d\alpha^{[0,m]}(t). \tag{2.19}
\]
Let also \(0 \leq m \leq n\). Then \(h_n^{[0,m]}(\epsilon)\) has a representation similar to that for the monic orthogonal polynomials \(\pi_n^{[l,0]}(t)\) (equation (2.1)),
\[
h_n^{[0,m]}(\epsilon) = \frac{(-1)^m}{(\epsilon - \epsilon_m) \ldots (\epsilon - \epsilon_1)} \left| \begin{array}{ccc}
h_{n-m}(\epsilon_1) & \ldots & h_n(\epsilon_1) \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
h_{n-m}(\epsilon_m) & \ldots & h_n(\epsilon_m) \\
h_{n-m}(\epsilon) & \ldots & h_n(\epsilon) \\
h_{n-1}(\epsilon_1) & \ldots & h_{n-1}(\epsilon_1) \\
\vdots \\
h_{n-1}(\epsilon_m) & \ldots & h_{n-1}(\epsilon_m)
\end{array} \right|. \tag{2.20}
\]
Proof. The above representation follows from formula (2.12) and from the fact that
\[
\frac{1}{(t - \epsilon_{m+1}) \ldots (t - \epsilon_1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{t - \epsilon_j} \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{1}{\epsilon_j - \epsilon_k}.
\] (2.21)
Indeed we find from formula (2.12) that \( h_n^{[0,m]}(\epsilon) \) is the ratio of the determinants. The elements of the last row of the determinant in the numerator are the integrals
\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{\pi_{n-k}(t) d\alpha(t)}{(t - \epsilon)(t - \epsilon_m) \ldots (t - \epsilon_1)}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m.
\]
Using identity (2.21) and noting that the only term
\[
\frac{1}{t - \epsilon} \frac{1}{(\epsilon - \epsilon_m) \ldots (\epsilon - \epsilon_1)}.
\] (2.22)
of the sum (2.21) contributes to the determinant, (2.20) follows. \( \square \)

Equation (2.20) immediately implies the following analogy of (2.5) for the \( h_n^{[0,m]} \)'s.

Corollary 2.9. Let \( 0 \leq m \leq n \). Then the product of the Cauchy transforms of monic orthogonal polynomials with respect to the measures \( d\alpha^{[0,j]}(t), \; 0 \leq j \leq m \) can be written as a determinant,
\[
\prod_{j=0}^{m} h_n^{[0,j]}(\epsilon_{j+1}) = \left( -1 \right)^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} \gamma_n \frac{h_{n-m}(\epsilon_1) \ldots h_n(\epsilon_1)}{\Delta(\epsilon)}
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
\frac{h_{n-m}(\epsilon_{m+1}) \ldots h_n(\epsilon_{m+1})}{\Delta(\epsilon)}.
\] (2.23)

Now we derive the identity for the average of the product of inverse random characteristic polynomials.

Theorem 2.10. Suppose \( 1 \leq M \leq N \) and let \( \gamma_n = -\frac{2\pi i}{c_n^2} \), where \( c_n \) is the normality constant defined by equation (1.4). Then we have the following formula
\[
\left\langle D_{N}^{-1}[\epsilon, H] \right\rangle \alpha = \left( -1 \right)^{\frac{M(M-1)}{2}} \frac{\prod_{j=N-M}^{N-1} \gamma_j}{\Delta(\epsilon)} \frac{h_{N-M}(\epsilon_1) \ldots h_{N-1}(\epsilon_1)}{\Delta(\epsilon)}
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
\frac{h_{N-M}(\epsilon_M) \ldots h_{N-1}(\epsilon_M)}{\Delta(\epsilon)}.
\] (2.24)
We rewrite the average in equation (2.24) as follows:

\[
\left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{M} D_N^{-1}[\epsilon_j, H] \right\rangle_\alpha = \frac{Z_N^{[0,M]} Z_{N-1}^{[0,M-1]} \cdots Z_{N-M}^{[0,0]}}{Z_N^{[0,M-1]} Z_{N-1}^{[0,M-2]} \cdots Z_0^{[0,0]}} \tag{2.27}
\]

where

\[
Z_N^{[0,M]} = \int \cdots \int \triangle^2(x) d\alpha^{[0,M]}(x), \tag{2.28}
\]

\[Z_N^{[0,0]} \equiv Z_N \text{ and } d\alpha^{[0,0]}(x) = d\alpha(x). \]

The following relation can be observed from equations (2.26) and (2.25):

\[
\frac{Z_N^{[0,m]}}{Z_{N-K-1}^{[0,m-1]}} = -2\pi i (N - K) h_{N-K-1}^{[0,m-1]}(\epsilon_m). \tag{2.29}
\]

Inserting this relation in (2.27) we find

\[
\left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{M} D_N^{-1}[\epsilon_j, H] \right\rangle_\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^{M} \gamma_{N-j} h_{N-j}^{[0,m-j]}(\epsilon_{M-j+1}). \tag{2.30}
\]

Our result (2.24) immediately follows from the above equation and formula (2.23).

We now repeat the above considerations for the case

\[d\alpha^{[\ell,m]}(t) = (\mu_1 - t) \cdots (\mu_\ell - t) (\epsilon_1 - t) \cdots (\epsilon_m - t) d\alpha(t). \tag{2.31}\]

The first result is a Christoffel type formula for the measure (2.31), which is due to Uvarov [19]:

**Lemma 2.11.** Suppose \(0 \leq m \leq n\). Then the monic orthogonal polynomials \(\pi_n^{[\ell,m]}(t)\)’s with respect to the measure \(d\alpha^{[\ell,m]}(t)\) have the following representation:

\[
\pi_n^{[\ell,m]}(t) = \frac{1}{(t - \mu_\ell) \cdots (t - \mu_1)} \begin{vmatrix}
  h_{n-m}(\epsilon_1) & \cdots & h_{n+m}(\epsilon_1) \\
  \vdots & & \vdots \\
  h_{n-m}(\epsilon_m) & \cdots & h_{n+m}(\epsilon_m) \\
  \pi_{n-m}(\mu_1) & \cdots & \pi_{n+m}(\mu_1) \\
  \vdots & & \vdots \\
  \pi_{n-m}(\mu_\ell) & \cdots & \pi_{n+m}(\mu_\ell) \\
  \pi_{n-m}(t) & \cdots & \pi_{n+m}(t)
\end{vmatrix}. \tag{2.32}
\]
Proof. As in the previous cases we define \( q_n^{[\ell,m]}(t) \) to be the determinant in the numerator of (2.32). Observe that

\[
q_n^{[\ell,m]}(\mu_1) = \ldots = q_n^{[\ell,m]}(\mu_\ell) = 0
\]  

and that

\[
\int \frac{q_n^{[\ell,m]}(t)d\alpha(t)}{\epsilon_1 - t} = \ldots = \int \frac{q_n^{[\ell,m]}(t)d\alpha(t)}{\epsilon_m - t} = 0.
\]  

The next steps are the same as in the proofs of Lemma (2.1) and Lemma (2.5).

Corollary 2.12.

\[
\langle \prod_{j=1}^K D_N[\mu_j,H] \rangle_{\alpha[0,M]} = \frac{1}{\Delta(\mu)} \begin{vmatrix}
  h_{N-M}(\epsilon_1) & \ldots & h_{N+K-1}(\epsilon_1) \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  h_{N-M}(\epsilon_K) & \ldots & h_{N+K-1}(\epsilon_K) \\
  \pi_{N-M}(\mu_1) & \ldots & \pi_{N+K-1}(\mu_1) \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  \pi_{N-M}(\mu_K) & \ldots & \pi_{N+K-1}(\mu_K) \\
\end{vmatrix}.
\]  

(2.35)

Proof. Identity (2.35) follows from equations (2.10) and (2.32) once we note that equation (2.32) can be rewritten in a similar manner as equation (2.5).

Finally we generalize Theorem (2.3) and Theorem (2.10) and obtain a formula for the average of ratios of characteristic polynomials.

Theorem 2.13. Suppose \( 0 \leq M \leq N \). Then the average of ratios of characteristic polynomials of \( N \times N \) Hermitian matrices \( H \) is given by the following formula:

\[
\left\langle \frac{\prod_{j=1}^K D_N[\mu_j,H]}{\prod_{j=1}^M D_N[\epsilon_j,H]} \right\rangle_{\alpha} = (-1)^{M(M-1)/2} \prod_{j=N-M}^{N-1} \frac{\gamma_j}{\Delta(\mu)\Delta(\epsilon)} \begin{vmatrix}
  h_{N-M}(\epsilon_1) & \ldots & h_{N+K-1}(\epsilon_1) \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  h_{N-M}(\epsilon_M) & \ldots & h_{N+K-1}(\epsilon_M) \\
  \pi_{N-M}(\mu_1) & \ldots & \pi_{N+K-1}(\mu_1) \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  \pi_{N-M}(\mu_K) & \ldots & \pi_{N+K-1}(\mu_K) \\
\end{vmatrix}.
\]  

(2.36)

Proof. Let \( \alpha^{[0,0]} \equiv \alpha, Z_n^{[0,0]} \equiv Z_n \). Then we have

\[
\left\langle \frac{\prod_{j=1}^K D_N[\mu_j,H]}{\prod_{j=1}^M D_N[\epsilon_j,H]} \right\rangle_{\alpha} = \frac{Z_N^{[K,M]}}{Z_N^{[0,M]}} = \frac{Z_N^{[K,M]}}{Z_N^{[0,M]}}.
\]  

(2.37)
We use Corollary (2.12) and Theorem (2.10) to obtain formula (2.36).

Remark 2.14. Observe that formulae (2.6), (2.24) do not follow immediately as special cases of (2.36): some further algebraic manipulation is required. Similarly, the process of adding and removing zeros is clearly reciprocal. More precisely, given \( (\text{2.36}) \): some further algebraic manipulation is required. Similarly, the process of adding and removing zeros is clearly reciprocal. More precisely, given \( \epsilon_1, \cdots, \epsilon_\ell \), we can construct the polynomials \( \pi_n^{[\ell,0]}(t; d\alpha^{[\ell,0]}(t) \) associated with the measure \( d\alpha^{[\ell,0]}(t) = (\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (\epsilon_i - t)) dt \) by (2.12): We can then construct \( \pi_n^{[\ell,0]}(t; d(\alpha^{[\ell,0]}(t,0)) \) with \( \mu_i = \epsilon_i \), inserting \( \pi_n^{[\ell,0]}(t; d\alpha^{[\ell,0]}(t) \) for \( \pi_n(t) \) on the right-hand-side of (2.1). We should find that \( \pi_n^{[\ell,0]}(t; d(\alpha^{[\ell,0]}(t,0)) = \pi_n(t; d\alpha) \). However, again, this relation is not immediately clear, and requires further algebraic manipulation.

3 Formulae of two-point function type

The following integral version of the Binet-Cauchy formula is due to Andréief [2], and plays a basic role in our calculations.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( (X, d\mu) \) be a measure space and suppose \( f_i, g_j \in L^2(X, d\mu) \) for \( 1 \leq i, j \leq k \). Then

\[
\int_X \cdots \int_X \det(f_i(x_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \det(g_i(x_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} d\mu(x_1) \cdots d\mu(x_k) = k! \det \left( \int_X f_i(x) g_j(x) d\mu(x) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}.
\]  

**(3.1)**

**Proof.** Set \( c_{ij} = \int_X f_i(x) g_j(x) d\mu(x) \). Then

\[
\int_X \cdots \int_X \det(f_i(x_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \det(g_i(x_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} d\mu(x_1) \cdots d\mu(x_k)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_k} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \text{sgn}(\tau) c_{\sigma(1) \tau(1)} \cdots c_{\sigma(k) \tau(k)}
\]

\[
= \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sum_{\tau} \text{sgn}(\tau \circ \sigma) c_{\sigma(1) \tau(1) \circ \sigma(1)} \cdots c_{\sigma(k) \tau(1) \circ \sigma(k)}
\]

\[
= \sum_{\sigma} (\text{sgn}(\sigma))^2 \sum_{\tau} \text{sgn}(\tau) c_{1 \tau(1)} \cdots c_{k \tau(k)}
\]

\[
= k! \det(c_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}
\]

as desired. In (3.2) we used \( \text{sgn}(\tau \circ \sigma) = (\text{sgn } \tau)(\text{sgn } \sigma) \) and the fact that \( c_{\sigma(1) \tau(1) \circ \sigma(1)} \cdots c_{\sigma(k) \tau(1) \circ \sigma(k)} = c_{1 \tau(1)} \cdots c_{k \tau(k)} \) for all \( \sigma \). \( \square \)
Theorem 3.2. Let $K \geq 1$. Then the following identity is valid:

$$\left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{N} D_N[\lambda_j, H]D_N[\mu_j, H] \right\rangle_\alpha = \frac{C_{N,K}}{\Delta(\lambda)\Delta(\mu)} \det(W_{I,N+K}(\lambda_i, \mu_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq K}$$

(3.3)

where

$$W_{I,N+K}(x, y) = \frac{\pi_{N,K}(x)\pi_{N,K-1}(y) - \pi_{N+K}(y)\pi_{N,K-1}(y)}{x - y}$$

(3.4)

and

$$C_{N,K} = \prod_{\ell=N+1}^{N+K-1} \frac{C_\ell}{(C_{N+K-1})^2}$$

(3.5)

where $c_\ell$ is again the norming constant for $\pi_\ell$ given in (1.4).

Proof. Let $p_j(x) = c_j^{-1}\pi_j(x)$, $j \geq 0$, denote the orthonormal polynomials with respect to $d\alpha$. From (1.2) we obtain

$$\left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{K} D_N[\lambda_j, H]D_N[\mu_j, H] \right\rangle_\alpha = \frac{1}{Z_N\Delta(\lambda)\Delta(\mu)} \int \cdots \int \Delta(x, \lambda)\Delta(x, \mu)d\alpha(x).$$

(3.6)

Adding columns, we see that the Vandermonde determinant $\Delta(x, \lambda)$ has the form

$$\begin{vmatrix}
\pi_0(x_1) & \pi_1(x_1) & \cdots & \pi_{N,K-1}(x_1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\pi_0(x_N) & \pi_1(x_N) & \cdots & \pi_{N,K-1}(x_N) \\
\pi_0(\lambda_1) & \pi_1(\lambda_1) & \cdots & \pi_{N,K-1}(\lambda_1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\pi_0(\lambda_K) & \pi_1(\lambda_K) & \cdots & \pi_{N,K-1}(\lambda_K)
\end{vmatrix}$$

(3.7)

and similarly for $\Delta(x, \mu)$. Here $\pi_j(t) = \pi_j^{[0,0]}(t)$. The determinant $\Delta(x, \lambda)$ can be evaluated by a Lagrange expansion of the form

$$\sum_{0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_K \leq N+K-1} \sigma_{i_1, \cdots, i_K} \begin{vmatrix}
\pi_{i_1}(\lambda_1) & \cdots & \pi_{i_K}(\lambda_1) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\pi_{i_1}(\lambda_K) & \cdots & \pi_{i_K}(\lambda_K) \\
\pi_{j_1}(x_1) & \cdots & \pi_{j_N}(x_1) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\pi_{j_1}(x_N) & \cdots & \pi_{j_N}(x_N)
\end{vmatrix}$$

(3.8)

where $\sigma_{i_1, \cdots, i_K} = \pm 1$ is an appropriate signature and $\{(j_1, \cdots, j_N) : 0 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_N \leq N + K - 1\}$ is the complement of $\{i_1, \cdots, i_K\}$ in $\{0, 1, \cdots, N + K - 1\}$. Multiplying (3.8) by a similar expansion for $\Delta(x, \mu)$, and inserting in (3.6), we obtain a sum of terms of the form

$$\int \cdots \int \begin{vmatrix}
\pi_{j_1}(x_1) & \cdots & \pi_{j_K}(x_1) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\pi_{j_1}(x_N) & \cdots & \pi_{j_K}(x_N)
\end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix}
\pi_{j_1}(x_1) & \cdots & \pi_{j_N}(x_1) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\pi_{j_1}(x_N) & \cdots & \pi_{j_N}(x_N)
\end{vmatrix} d\alpha(x)$$

(3.9)
which is equal by Lemma 3.1 to $N! \det (\int \pi_{j'}(x) \pi_{j_k}(x) d\alpha(x))_{1 \leq i, k \leq N} = N! \det (\delta_{j', j_k} c_{j_k}^2)_{1 \leq i, k \leq N}$. From this we see that

$$\left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{K} D_N[\lambda_j, H] D_N[\mu_j, H] \right\rangle_{\alpha}$$

$$= \frac{N!}{Z_N \Delta(\lambda) \Delta(\mu)} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{K+1} \leq N+K-1} \sigma_i^2 \left| \begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_{i_1}(\mu_1) & \cdots & \pi_{i_{K+1}}(\mu_{K+1})
\vdots & & \vdots
\pi_{i_1}(\lambda_1) & \cdots & \pi_{i_{K+1}}(\lambda_{K+1})
\end{array} \right|$$

$$= \frac{N! \prod_{q=1}^{K-1} c_{q}^2 \Delta(x, \lambda) \Delta(x, \mu)}{Z_N \Delta(x, \lambda) \Delta(x, \mu)} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{K+1} \leq N+K-1} \det (p_{i_j}(\lambda_k))_{1 \leq j, k \leq K+1} \det (p_{i_j}(\mu_k))_{1 \leq j, k \leq K}$$

where the last line follows by applying Lemma 3.1 to the discrete measure $d\mu = \sum_{i=0}^{N+K-1} \delta_i$. But by the Christoffel-Darboux formula

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq N+K-1} p_i(\lambda_j) p_i(\mu_k) = \frac{\pi_{N+K}(\lambda_j) \pi_{N+K}(\mu_k) - \pi_{N+K}(\mu_k) \pi_{N+K}(\lambda_j)}{\lambda_j - \mu_k}$$

which then implies (3.3) as $Z_N = N! \prod_{q=0}^{N-1} c_q^2$ (see, e.g. [18]).

\textbf{Theorem 3.3.} Suppose $1 \leq K \leq N$. Then the following identity is valid:

$$\left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{K} D_N[\mu_j, H] \right\rangle_{\alpha} = (-1)^{K(K-1)/2} \frac{\Delta(\epsilon, \mu)}{\Delta^2(\epsilon) \Delta^2(\mu)} \det (W_{II, N}(\epsilon_i, \mu_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq K}$$

where

$$W_{II, N}(x, y) = \frac{h_N(\epsilon) \pi_{N-1}(\mu) - h_N(\mu) \pi_{N-1}(\epsilon)}{\epsilon - \mu}$$

and again $h_k(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{\pi_k(t) d\alpha(t)}{t-\epsilon}$ is the Cauchy transform of $\pi_k(t)$ and $\gamma_{N-1} = -2\pi i / C_{N-1}^2$. 

---
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Observe first that by linearity

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
    h_{N-M}(\epsilon_1) & \cdots & h_{N+L-1}(\epsilon_1) \\
    \vdots & & \vdots \\
    h_{N-M}(\epsilon_M) & \cdots & h_{N+L-1}(\epsilon_M) \\
    \pi_{N-M}(\mu_1) & \cdots & \pi_{N+L-1}(\mu_1) \\
    \vdots & & \vdots \\
    \pi_{N-M}(\mu_L) & \cdots & \pi_{N+L-1}(\mu_L)
\end{vmatrix} = \int \cdots \int \frac{d\alpha(\lambda)}{(2\pi i)^M \prod_{j=1}^M (\lambda_j - \epsilon_j)}
\]

Inserting (2.36) on the left-hand-side, and using (2.5) to re-express the integrand on the right-hand-side, we obtain the following result, which is of independent interest. The result expresses averages of ratios of characteristic polynomials in terms of averages of products of such polynomials.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let \(1 \leq M \leq N\). Then

\[
\left\langle \frac{\prod_{j=1}^K D_N[\mu_i, H]}{\prod_{j=1}^M D_N[\epsilon_j, H]} \right\rangle_{\alpha} = \frac{(-1)^{M(M-1)/2} \prod_{j=N-M}^{N-1} \gamma_j}{\Delta(\mu) \Delta(\epsilon)} \times \int \cdots \int \frac{d\alpha(\lambda)}{(2\pi i)^M \prod_{j=1}^M (\lambda_j - \epsilon_j)} \Delta(\lambda, \mu) \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^M D_{N-M}[\lambda_j, H] \prod_{j=1}^L D_{N-M}[\mu_j, H] \right\rangle_{\alpha}.
\]

**Proof of Theorem 3.2.** For \(M = L = K \leq N\), by (3.15) and (3.3),

\[
\frac{\Delta(\mu) \Delta(\epsilon)}{(-1)^{K(K-1)/2} \prod_{j=N-K}^{N-1} \gamma_j} \left\langle \frac{\prod_{j=1}^K D_N[\mu_i, H]}{\prod_{j=1}^K D_N[\epsilon_j, H]} \right\rangle_{\alpha}
= \int \cdots \int \frac{d\alpha(\lambda)}{(2\pi i)^M \prod_{j=1}^M (\lambda_j - \epsilon_j)} C_{N-K,K} \prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{j=1}^K (\mu_i - \lambda_j) \det \left(W_{i,N}(\lambda_i, \mu_j)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq K}.
\]
But

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{d\alpha(\lambda_j)}{\lambda_j - \epsilon_j} \prod_{i=1}^{K} (\mu_i - \lambda_j) \frac{\pi_N(\lambda_j)\pi_{N-1}(\mu_k) - \pi_{N-1}(\lambda_j)\pi_N(\mu_k)}{\lambda_j - \mu_k}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int d\alpha(\lambda_j) \left(1 - \frac{\mu_1 - \epsilon_j}{\lambda_j - \epsilon_j} \right) \left(\prod_{i=2}^{K} (\mu_i - \lambda_j)\right) \left(\pi_N(\lambda_j)\pi_{N-1}(\mu_k) - \pi_{N-1}(\lambda_j)\pi_N(\mu_k)\right)
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int d\alpha(\lambda_j) \frac{\mu_1 - \epsilon_j}{\lambda_j - \epsilon_j} \left(\prod_{i=2}^{K} (\mu_i - \lambda_j)\right) \left(\pi_N(\lambda_j)\pi_{N-1}(\mu_k) - \pi_{N-1}(\lambda_j)\pi_N(\mu_k)\right)
\]

(3.17)

as \( \int d\alpha(\lambda_j) \lambda_j^\ell \pi_{N-1}(\lambda_j) = \int d\alpha(\lambda_j) \lambda_j^\ell \pi_N(\lambda_j) = 0 \) for \( 0 \leq \ell \leq K - 2 < N - 1 \). Continuing in this way, the integral reduces to \( \prod_{i=1}^{K} (\mu_i - \epsilon_j) W_{I,N}(\epsilon_i, \mu_k) \). Thus we find

\[
\frac{\Delta(\mu)\Delta(\epsilon)}{(-1)^{K(K-1)/2} \prod_{j=N-K}^{N-1} \gamma_j} \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{K} D_N[\mu_i, H] \right\rangle_a = \frac{\Delta(\epsilon, \mu)}{\Delta(\epsilon)\Delta(\mu)} \det(W_{I,N+K}(\lambda_i, \mu_k))_{1 \leq i,k \leq K}
\]

(3.18)

and (3.12) follows.
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