



For those familiar with Tor, we give a different argument. First note that when  $C, D$  are  $R$ -modules the  $R$ -modules  $\text{Tor}_i^R(C, D)$  are defined for all integers  $i$ . The superscript  $R$  is frequently omitted when the base ring is understood from context. For negative  $i$ , they vanish, while  $\text{Tor}_0(C, D) = C \otimes D$ . There is a canonical isomorphism  $\text{Tor}_i(C, D) \cong \text{Tor}_i(D, C)$  that generalizes the symmetry of the tensor product. When  $D$  is flat and, hence, when  $C$  is flat,  $\text{Tor}_i(C, D) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Moreover, if  $0 \rightarrow C' \rightarrow C \rightarrow C'' \rightarrow 0$  is exact, there is a (functorial) long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i(C', D) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i(C, D) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i(C'', D) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i-1}(C', D) \rightarrow \cdots \\ \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(C', D) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(C, D) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(C'', D) \rightarrow C' \otimes D \rightarrow C \otimes D \rightarrow C'' \otimes D \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We only need the rightmost four terms of the long exact sequence, which we may utilize in the case where  $C'' = F$ ,  $C' = N$ ,  $C = M$ , and  $D = A$ . The conclusion we want is immediate.  $\square$

We make the following further comments about Tor. If  $C$  is held fixed,  $\text{Tor}_i(C, \_)$  is a covariant functor from  $R$ -modules to  $R$ -modules. The same holds for  $\text{Tor}_i(\_, D)$  when  $D$  is held fixed. If  $R$  is Noetherian and  $C, D$  are Noetherian, so are all the modules  $\text{Tor}_i(C, D)$ .

If  $r \in R$ , the map given by multiplication by  $r$  on  $C$  induces the map given by multiplication by  $r$  on  $\text{Tor}_i(C, D)$ , and the same applies for the map given by multiplication by  $r$  on  $D$ . It follows that  $\text{Tor}_i(C, D)$  is killed by the sum of the annihilators of the modules  $C$  and  $D$ .

One way of defining Tor is as follows. If  $A$  is an  $R$ -module, one can construct a left free resolution of  $A$  as follows. Map a free  $R$ -module onto  $A$ , call it  $P_0$ . Then map a free  $R$ -module  $P_1$  onto  $\text{Ker}(P_0 \rightarrow A)$ . This yields a sequence  $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  exact except at  $P_1$ . Recursively, if one has an exact sequence  $P_i \rightarrow P_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ , one may extend the resolution further by mapping a free module  $P_{i+1}$  onto  $\text{Ker}(P_i \rightarrow P_{i-1})$ . This yields a (very possibly infinite) free resolution  $\cdots \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  which is exact. Let  $P_\bullet$  be the sequence obtained by replacing  $A$  by 0. This sequence is exact except at  $P_0$ . It is still a complex, i.e., the composition of any two consecutive maps is 0. One gets a new complex  $P_\bullet \otimes_R D$  by applying  $\_ \otimes_R D$ , namely  $\cdots \rightarrow P_n \otimes_R D \rightarrow P_{n-1} \otimes_R D \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \otimes_R D \rightarrow P_0 \otimes_R D \rightarrow 0$ .

Given any complex  $G_\bullet$ , say  $\cdots \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots$  we may define its homology  $H_n(G_\bullet)$  at the  $n$ th spot as  $Z_n/B_n$ , where  $Z_n = \text{ker}(G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1})$  and  $B_n = \text{Im}(G_{n+1} \rightarrow G_n)$ . Then  $\text{Tor}^n(C, D) = H_n(P_\bullet \otimes_R D)$ . This turns out to be independent of the free resolution up to canonical isomorphism. In fact, one may use any projective resolution or even a resolution by flat modules to calculate Tor.