

Math 614: Lecture notes

Sep 10, 2007

Natural transformations and equivalences of categories¹

Let $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be functors (In this lecture, all functors are covariant.). A *natural transformation* of functors, written $\alpha : F \rightarrow G$, consists of a choice, for every $C \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, of a morphism $\alpha_C : FC \rightarrow GC$ in \mathcal{D} which is “natural in C ”, in the sense that for any morphism $f : C \rightarrow C'$ in \mathcal{C} , we have $\alpha_{C'} \circ Ff = Gf \circ \alpha_C$. In other words, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & & F(C) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_C} & G(C) \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow F(f) & & \downarrow G(f) \\ C' & & F(C') & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{C'}} & G(C') \end{array}$$

We say that α is a natural transformation from F to G .

If $\alpha : F \rightarrow G$ and $\beta : G \rightarrow H$ are natural transformations, where $F, G, H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ are functors from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} , then we can define the *composition* $\beta \circ \alpha : F \rightarrow H$ via $(\beta \circ \alpha)_C = \beta_C \circ \alpha_C$ for any $C \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$. The composition of any two natural transformations is a natural transformation.

For any functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, there is a natural transformation $1_F : F \rightarrow F$, called the *identity transformation*, which is defined so that $(1_F)_C = 1_{FC}$ for any $C \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$. If $\alpha : F \rightarrow G$ and $\beta : G \rightarrow F$ are natural transformations such that $\beta \circ \alpha = 1_F$

If $\alpha : F \rightarrow G$ and $\beta : G \rightarrow F$ are natural transformations such that $\beta \circ \alpha = 1_F$ and $\alpha \circ \beta = 1_G$, we say that α is an *isomorphism* from F to G , and we may write $F \cong G$.

¹These notes may be a little bare-bones, as I don't remember exactly what I covered.

If $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ are functors such that $G \circ F = 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $F \circ G = 1_{\mathcal{D}}$, then we say the categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are *isomorphic*. However, there is a weaker, more useful notion. Namely, if $G \circ F \cong 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $F \circ G \cong 1_{\mathcal{D}}$, we say that \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are *equivalent*, and that F is an *equivalence of categories*, and we write $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{D}$.

When two categories are isomorphic, there is a bijection between their sets of objects. However, two equivalent categories are only equivalent up to isomorphisms of objects, so one might have a situation where $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{D}$ but \mathcal{D} has many more objects than \mathcal{C} . But the isomorphism classes match, and equivalent categories really are ‘equivalent’ in the ways that matter.

Adjoint functors

Definition. Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be categories, and $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}, G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be functors. Suppose that for every pair of objects $C \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}, D \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{D}$, there is a bijection $\varphi_{C,D} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(FC, D) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, GD)$, which is “natural in C and D ” in the sense that for any $r : C \rightarrow C'$ in \mathcal{C} , $\alpha : FC' \rightarrow D$, and $t : D \rightarrow D'$ in \mathcal{D} , we have $\varphi_{C,D'}(t \circ \alpha \circ Fr) = Gt \circ \varphi_{C',D}(\alpha) \circ r$. Then we say that $(F, G, \varphi) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an *adjunction*.²

The naturality condition can be stated in terms of the commutativity of the following diagram for any such r, α, t , where $*$ denotes left-composition and $*$ denotes right-composition:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(FC', D) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{C',D}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C', GD) & & C' \\
 & & \downarrow (Fr)^* & & \downarrow r^* & & \uparrow r \\
 D & & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(FC, D) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{C,D}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, GD) & & C \\
 \downarrow t & & \downarrow t_* & & \downarrow (Gt)_* & & \\
 D' & & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(FC, D') & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{C,D'}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, GD') & &
 \end{array}$$

In particular, for any object $C \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$, there is an isomorphism $\varphi_{C,FC} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(FC, FC) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(C, GFC)$. We set $\eta_C := \varphi_{C,FC}(1_{FC}) : C \rightarrow GFC$. These morphisms are particularly important because for any $\alpha : FC \rightarrow D$,

²Alternate terminology: ‘ F is left-adjoint to G ’, ‘ G is right-adjoint to F ’, or ‘ $\mathcal{C} \xrightleftharpoons[F]{F} \mathcal{D}$ is an adjunction.’

we have $\varphi(\alpha) = \varphi(\alpha \circ 1_{FC}) = G\alpha \circ \varphi(1_{FC}) = G\alpha \circ \eta_C$. So if one knows η_C for every $C \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ and one knows the action of G on morphisms of \mathcal{C} , one can compute every $\varphi_{C,D}$.

In fact, the collection of morphisms η_C defines a natural transformation $\eta : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow GF$. To see this, note that for any morphism $r : C \rightarrow C'$ in \mathcal{C} , we have $\eta_{C'} \circ r = \varphi(1_{FC'}) \circ r = \varphi(1_{FC'} \circ Fr) = \varphi(Fr) = GF r \circ \eta_C$, which means that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\eta_C} & GFC \\ \downarrow r & & \downarrow GF r \\ C' & \xrightarrow{\eta_{C'}} & GFC' \end{array}$$

which is exactly what is required to define a natural transformation. We call η the *unit* of the adjunction.

Dually, for any object $D \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{D}$, there is an isomorphism $\varphi_{GD,D} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(FGD, D) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(GD, GD)$. We set $\varepsilon_D := \varphi_{GD,D}^{-1}(1_{GD})$. Then for any morphism $\beta : C \rightarrow GD$, we have $\varphi(\varepsilon_D \circ F\beta) = \varphi(\varepsilon_D) \circ \beta = 1_{GD} \circ \beta = \beta$, so that $\varphi^{-1}(\beta) = \varepsilon_D \circ F\beta$. Hence, the action of φ^{-1} is defined by the morphisms ε_D and the action of the functor F on morphisms. Also, it is straightforward to check that the morphisms ε_D give a natural transformation $\varepsilon : FG \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{D}}$, called the *counit* of the adjunction.

So an alternate way to define an adjunction is to start by giving a unit and a counit, and define φ and φ^{-1} from there.

Examples

1. Abelianization

Let $U : \mathbf{Ab} \rightarrow \mathbf{Group}$ be the forgetful functor, let $F : \mathbf{Group} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ be the abelianization functor. For any group H , let $\eta_H : H \rightarrow UFH = H/[H, H]$ be the quotient map, and for any abelian group A , let $\varepsilon_A : A = UFA \rightarrow A$ be the identity map. Then for any $\alpha : FH \rightarrow A$, define $\varphi_{H,A}(\alpha) := \alpha \circ \eta_H : H \rightarrow UA$. Then $\varphi_{H,A}$ is an isomorphism for every H and A , it satisfies the required naturality properties, and $\varepsilon_A = \varphi^{-1}(1_{UA})$ for every A , as required. Hence, $(F, G, \varphi) : \mathbf{Group} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ is an adjunction.

2. Free object functors

Let \mathcal{C} be a category with a forgetful functor $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$. If U has a left-adjoint $F : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, we call it the *free object functor*, and for any set $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $F(X)$ is called the *free \mathcal{C} -object on X* . Then the unit $\eta_X : X \rightarrow UFX$ is called *insertion of generators* (typically it will be injective), and the counit $\varepsilon_C : FUC \rightarrow C$ is called *free projection* (typically it will be surjective).

For example, if $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Ab}$, there is a free object functor $F : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$, where $F(X)$ is indeed the free abelian group on the set X . Similarly, there is a functor $F : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Group}$, whose object function gives the free group on a set, which is indeed left-adjoint to the forgetful functor $U : \mathbf{Group} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$. We will also see free object functors for the categories \mathbf{CRng} , ${}_R\mathbf{Mod}$, and ${}_R\mathbf{Alg}$, all of which are fundamental constructions in commutative algebra

3. Products and coproducts For any category \mathcal{C} , consider the diagonal functor $\Delta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$. If Δ has a left-adjoint $\amalg : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, we call it the *coproduct*, and we say that \mathcal{C} has *binary coproducts*. We write $\amalg(C, D) = C \amalg D$ and $\amalg(f, g) = f \amalg g$. On the other hand, if Δ has a *right* adjoint $\prod : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, we call it the *product* and we say that \mathcal{C} has *binary products*. We write $\prod(C, D) = C \times D$ and $\prod(f, g) = f \times g$. (Similarly, for a fixed set S , we say Δ has S -indexed coproducts or products if $\Delta_S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^S$ has a left adjoint ($\amalg_S : \mathcal{C}^S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$) or right adjoint ($\prod_S : \mathcal{C}^S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$) respectively.) As stated (in the case of product) in an exercise, the product and coproduct defined in this way satisfy the familiar universal properties.